

NOV 28 1962

144

Rept. No. (NASA TMX-50311)

*Title*  
MAGNETO-FLUID DYNAMIC TURBULENCE WITH A

UNIFORM IMPOSED MAGNETIC FIELD

N65-88750

~~X 68 15359~~

By Robert G. Deissler [1962]

39p 11 refs Submitted

CODE-2A

Lewis Research Center

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Cleveland, Ohio

### ABSTRACT

Two-point correlation and spectral equations for this case are derived from the equations of fluid and electrodynamics. Solutions are obtained by assuming that the turbulent field is homogeneous and weak enough for triple correlations to be negligible. For initial conditions, it is postulated that the turbulence is initially isotropic, and that the turbulent magnetic field fluctuations are initially zero. The interaction of the mean magnetic field with the turbulent velocity field then causes magnetic-field fluctuations to arise at later times. In general, the turbulent energy in the mechanical and magnetic modes tends toward equipartition for large values of time or of mean magnetic field. However, when the kinematic viscosity is much less than the electrical resistivity (or magnetic diffusivity), as for liquid metals, equipartition is not approached before the turbulence is damped out by the mean magnetic field.

### INTRODUCTION

Turbulence in conducting fluids in the presence of magnetic fields has received considerable attention in recent years.<sup>1-5</sup> Most of the interest in this type of turbulence stems from its importance in certain astrophysical and geophysical applications. Phenomena such as sunspots,

*Unclassified report*

Available to NASA Offices and  
NASA Centers Only.

ME-1874  
[2a]  
yes  
Support  
[14]  
Near  
Turnings  
[5]

39 p.

cosmic rays, and the geomagnetic field appear to be related, respectively, to turbulence in the sun, interstellar space, and the earth's core. Some of the astro- and geophysical aspects of magneto-fluid dynamic turbulence are discussed in the book by Cowling.<sup>5</sup>

In the present study we try to gain some understanding of magneto-fluid dynamic turbulence by considering an idealized model for which a solution can be obtained. A uniform magnetic field is imposed on a field of homogeneous turbulence in a conducting fluid. Such a field of turbulence will decay with time, so that it is necessary to produce it initially by some means, for instance, by passing the fluid through a grid. Although turbulent fluctuations in the magnetic field are initially absent in such a system, it will be seen that they can arise at later times because of the interaction of the turbulent velocity field with the imposed mean magnetic field. A two-point analysis of the turbulent fields will be carried out. In order to make the problem determinate, it is assumed that the turbulence is weak enough for triple correlations to be negligible. This method of analysis appears to provide an effective means for obtaining deductive information on turbulence from the basic equations.<sup>6-8</sup> In principle the analysis could be extended to stronger turbulence by considering more points in the fluid as in Ref. 9.

One of the important problems in magneto-fluid dynamic turbulence is the ultimate partition of turbulent energy between the mechanical and magnetic modes. Some authors have argued that there should be an approximate equipartition of energy between the two modes, whereas others

Available to NASA Offices and  
NASA Centers Only.

have indicated that the turbulent magnetic and vorticity fields should be similar. A summary of the arguments for and against each point of view is given in Ref. 5. It is hoped that the present study will give some insight into this problem. It will be seen that, at least for the model considered here, the turbulent energy tends ultimately to be distributed equally between the mechanical and magnetic modes.

### BASIC EQUATIONS

It is assumed that the dynamics of the conducting fluid are described by the following equations:

Navier-Stokes equation:

$$\frac{D\vec{u}}{Dt} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \nabla p + \nu \nabla^2 \vec{u} + \frac{1}{\rho} \vec{j} \times \vec{b} \quad (1)$$

Continuity equation:

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{u} = 0 \quad (2)$$

Maxwell's equations:

$$\vec{j} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times \vec{b} \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{b} = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\nabla \times \vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{b}}{\partial t} \quad (5)$$

Ohm's law:

$$\vec{j} = \sigma(\vec{E} + \vec{u} \times \vec{b} - e_c^{-1} n_e^{-1} \vec{j} \times \vec{b}) \quad (6)$$

where  $D$  signifies a substantial derivative,  $\vec{u}$  is the instantaneous velocity,  $t$  is the time,  $\rho$  the density,  $p$  the instantaneous pressure,

$\vec{J}$  the instantaneous electrical current,  $\vec{b}$  the instantaneous magnetic field,  $\mu_0$  the permeability of free space,  $E$  the instantaneous electrical field,  $\sigma$  the electrical conductivity,  $e_c$  the charge on an electron, and  $n_e$  the number density of electrons. mks units are used throughout. The fluid properties are assumed constant in Eqs. (1) and (6), and the usual magneto-fluid dynamic approximations are made in Maxwell's equations. The last term in Eq. (6) is, of course, the Hall current; that current arises because of the force  $\vec{V} \times \vec{b}$  which acts on the electron gas as it moves through the fluid with the relative velocity  $\vec{V}$ . The velocity  $\vec{V}$  is related to  $\vec{J}$  by the equation  $\vec{J} = -n_e e_c \vec{V}$ .

Taking the curl of Eq. (6), and using (3), (4), and (5) result in

$$\frac{1}{\mu_0} \nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{b}) = -\sigma \frac{\partial \vec{b}}{\partial t} + \sigma \nabla \times (\vec{u} \times \vec{b}) - (e_c n_e \mu_0)^{-1} \nabla \times [(\nabla \times \vec{b}) \times \vec{b}] \quad (7)$$

With the use of the vector identities

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{u}) = \nabla(\nabla \cdot \vec{u}) - \nabla \cdot \nabla \vec{u}$$

$$\nabla \times (\vec{u} \times \vec{v}) = \vec{v} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} - \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{v} + \vec{u}(\nabla \cdot \vec{v}) - \vec{v}(\nabla \cdot \vec{u})$$

$$(\nabla \times \vec{u}) \times \vec{u} = (\vec{u} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla u^2$$

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \vec{u}) = 0$$

and Eqs. (2) and (4), Eq. (7) becomes

$$-\frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} \nabla \cdot \nabla \vec{b} = -\frac{\partial \vec{b}}{\partial t} + \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \vec{u} - \vec{u} \cdot \nabla \vec{b} - (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} \nabla \times [(\vec{b} \cdot \nabla) \vec{b}] \quad (8)$$

In component form this equation can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{b}_i}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (u_i \tilde{b}_k - \tilde{b}_i u_k) + \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{b}_i}{\partial x_k \partial x_k} - (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial^2 (\tilde{b}_j \tilde{b}_k)}{\partial x_j \partial x_l} \quad (9)$$

where  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  has the value 0 when  $i, j$ , and  $k$  are not all different.

When the subscripts are all different,  $\epsilon_{ijk}$  has the value +1

when they are in cyclic order and -1 when they are in acyclic order.

The subscripts in Eq. (9) can take on the values 1, 2, or 3, and a repeated subscript in a term indicates a summation of terms, with the subscript successively taking on the values 1, 2, and 3.

The term  $\vec{j} \times \vec{b}$  in Eq. (1) can be written as

$$\vec{j} \times \vec{b} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} (\nabla \times \vec{b}) \times \vec{b} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \left( \vec{b} \cdot \nabla \vec{b} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla b^2 \right)$$

Equation (1) then becomes, when written in component form,

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (u_i u_k)}{\partial x_k} = - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k \partial x_k} + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial (\tilde{b}_i \tilde{b}_k)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{1}{2 \mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial (\tilde{b}_k \tilde{b}_k)}{\partial x_i} \quad (10)$$

Inasmuch as we will be considering a steady mean magnetic field, as well

as a fluctuating field, we write the instantaneous field as

$$\tilde{b}_i = b_i + B_i \quad (11)$$

where  $b_i$  is the fluctuating component and  $B_i$  is the steady mean

component of the magnetic field. Then Eq. (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = & - \frac{\partial(u_i u_k)}{\partial x_k} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k \partial x_k} + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (b_i b_k + b_i B_k + b_k B_i + B_i B_k) \\ & - \frac{1}{2\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (b_k b_k + 2b_k B_k + B_k B_k) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The average value of Eq. (12) is

$$0 = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \overline{u_i u_k} + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (\overline{b_i b_k} + B_i B_k) - \frac{1}{2\mu_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (\overline{b_k b_k} + B_k B_k) \quad (13)$$

where the overbars indicate average values. Subtracting Eq. (13) from (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = & - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (u_i u_k - \overline{u_i u_k}) - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k \partial x_k} \\ & + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (b_i b_k - \overline{b_i b_k} + b_i B_k + b_k B_i) \\ & - \frac{1}{2\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (b_k b_k - \overline{b_k b_k} + 2b_k B_k) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Similarly, Eq. (9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial t} = & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} (u_i b_k - \overline{u_i b_k} + u_i B_k - b_i u_k + \overline{b_i u_k} - B_i u_k) + \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} \frac{\partial^2 b_i}{\partial x_k \partial x_k} \\ & - (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_l} (b_l b_k - \overline{b_l b_k} + b_l B_k + b_k B_l) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Equations (14) and (15) are the equations for the velocity and magnetic field at a point P in the fluid. In order to construct two-point correlation equations, those equations are also written at another point, say P':

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial u_j'}{\partial t} = & - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k'} (u_j' u_k' - \overline{u_j' u_k'}) - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p'}{\partial x_j'} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 u_j'}{\partial x_k' \partial x_k'} \\
 & + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k'} (b_j' b_k' - \overline{b_j' b_k'} + b_j' B_k' + b_k' B_j') \\
 & - \frac{1}{2\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j'} (b_k' b_k' - \overline{b_k' b_k'} + 2b_k' B_k') \quad (16)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial b_j'}{\partial t} = & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k'} (u_j' b_k' - \overline{u_j' b_k'} + u_j' B_k' - b_j' u_k' + \overline{b_j' u_k'} - B_j' u_k') + \frac{1}{\alpha \mu_0} \frac{\partial^2 b_j'}{\partial x_k' \partial x_k'} \\
 & - (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} \epsilon_{jmk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_m' \partial x_l'} (b_l' b_k' - \overline{b_l' b_k'} + b_l' B_k' + b_k' B_l') \quad (17)
 \end{aligned}$$

Two-point correlation equations. - If we multiply Eq. (14) by  $u_j'$  and Eq. (16) by  $u_i'$ , add the two equations and take average values, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \overline{u_i' u_j'} = & - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k'} \overline{u_i' u_k' u_j'} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k'} \overline{u_i' u_j' u_k'} - \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \overline{p u_j'}}{\partial x_i'} + \frac{\partial \overline{u_i' p'}}{\partial x_j'} \right) \\
 & + \nu \left( \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_i' u_j'}}{\partial x_k' \partial x_k'} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_i' u_j'}}{\partial x_k' \partial x_k'} \right) + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k'} (\overline{b_i' b_k' u_j'} + \overline{b_i' u_j' B_k'} + \overline{b_k' u_j' B_i'}) \\
 & + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k'} (\overline{u_i' b_j' b_k'} + \overline{u_i' b_j' B_k'} + \overline{u_i' b_k' B_j'}) - \frac{1}{2\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i'} (\overline{b_k' b_k' u_j'} + 2\overline{b_k' u_j' B_k'}) \\
 & - \frac{1}{2\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j'} (\overline{u_i' b_k' b_k'} + 2\overline{u_i' b_k' B_k'}) \quad (18)
 \end{aligned}$$

In obtaining this equation use was made of the fact that quantities at one point are independent of the position of the other point. Introducing the variable  $r_i \equiv x_i' - x_i$  gives, for homogeneous turbulence and a uniform mean magnetic field,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \overline{u_i u_j}}{\partial t} = & \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} (\overline{u_i u_k u_j'} - \overline{u_i u_j' u_k'}) + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} (\overline{u_i b_j' b_k'} - \overline{b_i b_k u_j'}) \\
& + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} B_k \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} (\overline{u_i b_j'} - \overline{b_i u_j'}) + \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i} \left[ \overline{p u_j'} + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} (\overline{b_k b_k u_j'} + 2\overline{b_k u_j' B_k}) \right] \\
& - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} \left[ \overline{u_i p'} + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} (\overline{u_i b_k' b_k'} + 2\overline{u_i b_k' B_k}) \right] + 2\nu \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_i u_j}}{\partial r_k \partial r_k} \quad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

An equation for the correlation between the velocity and the magnetic field is obtained by multiplying Eq. (14) by  $b_j'$ , Eq. (17) by  $u_i$ , and performing operations similar to those used in obtaining Eq. (19). This gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \overline{u_i b_j}}{\partial t} = & \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} \left( \overline{u_i u_k b_j'} - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \overline{b_i b_k b_j'} + \overline{u_i u_j' b_k'} - \overline{u_i u_k' b_j'} \right) \\
& + B_k \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} \left( \overline{u_i u_j'} - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \overline{b_i b_j'} \right) \\
& + \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i} \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \overline{p b_j'} + \frac{1}{2\mu_0 \rho} \overline{b_k b_k b_j'} + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \overline{b_k b_j' B_k} \right) + \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_i b_j}}{\partial r_k \partial r_k} \\
& - (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} \epsilon_{jmk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_m \partial r_l} (\overline{u_i b_l' b_k'} + \overline{u_i b_l'} B_k + \overline{u_i b_k'} B_l) \quad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly from Eqs. (15) and (16),

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \overline{b_i u_j}}{\partial t} = & - \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} \left( \overline{u_i b_k u_j'} - \overline{b_i u_k u_j'} + \overline{b_i u_j' u_k'} - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \overline{b_i b_j' b_k'} \right) \\
& + B_k \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} (\overline{b_i b_j'} - \overline{u_i u_j'}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} \left( \frac{\overline{b_i p'}}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2\mu_0 \rho} \overline{b_i b_k' b_k'} + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \overline{b_i b_k'} B_k \right) \\
& + \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_i u_j}}{\partial r_k \partial r_k} - (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} \epsilon_{imk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_m \partial r_l} \\
& (\overline{b_l b_k u_j'} + \overline{b_l u_j'} B_k + \overline{b_k u_j'} B_l) \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$



The equation for the two-point magnetic field correlation is obtained from Eqs. (15) and (17) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial \overline{b_i b_j}}{\partial t} = & \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} (\overline{b_i u_k b_j} - \overline{b_i u_k' b_j'}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} (\overline{b_i u_j' b_k'} - \overline{u_i b_k b_j'}) \\
 & + B_k \frac{\partial}{\partial r_k} (\overline{b_i u_j} - \overline{u_i b_j}) + \frac{2}{\alpha_0} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_i b_j}}{\partial r_k \partial r_k} \\
 & - (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} \left[ \epsilon_{imk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_m \partial r_l} (\overline{b_l b_k b_j} + \overline{b_l b_j} B_k + \overline{b_k b_j} B_l) \right] \\
 & + \epsilon_{jmk} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_m \partial r_l} (\overline{b_i b_l b_k} + \overline{b_i b_l} B_k + \overline{b_i b_k} B_l) \quad (22)
 \end{aligned}$$

By an argument similar to that given in Ref. 10, it can be shown that the term  $(\partial/\partial r_k)(\overline{b_i u_k b_j} - \overline{b_i u_k' b_j'})$  in the last equation is zero for  $r=0$ . Thus, that term can be interpreted as the Fourier transform of a term which transfers magnetic energy between eddies of various sizes.

The pressure and magnetic-pressure terms in Eqs. (19) to (21), that is, terms containing  $\partial/\partial r_i$  or  $\partial/\partial r_j$ , can be interpreted as transferring energy (or correlation) between the directional components. The argument is similar to that given in Ref. 10. Other terms in the preceding correlation equations, or their Fourier transforms will be interpreted later in the paper.

To obtain equations for the pressure and magnetic-pressure terms, take the divergence of Eqs. (14) and (16) and apply continuity. Then Eq. (14), for instance, becomes

$$0 = - \frac{\partial^2(u_1 u_k)}{\partial x_k \partial x_1} + \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_1 u_k}}{\partial x_k \partial x_1} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \left[ \frac{\partial^2(b_1 b_k)}{\partial x_k \partial x_1} - \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_1 b_k}}{\partial x_k \partial x_1} + \frac{\partial^2(b_1 B_k)}{\partial x_k \partial x_1} + \frac{\partial^2(b_k B_1)}{\partial x_k \partial x_1} \right] - \frac{1}{2\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} (b_k b_k - \overline{b_k b_k} + 2b_k B_k) \quad (23)$$

Multiplying this equation by  $u'_j$ , averaging, and introducing the variable  $\vec{r}$  result in

$$\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_i \partial r_i} \left[ \overline{p u'_j} + \frac{1}{2\mu_0} (\overline{b_k b_k u'_j} + 2\overline{b_k u'_j B_k}) \right] = - \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_1 u_k u'_j}}{\partial r_i \partial r_k} + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_1 b_k u'_j}}{\partial r_k \partial r_i} \quad (24)$$

By taking the Fourier transform of this equation it is easy to see that the quantity in brackets will be zero if the triple correlations are neglected. (See for instance Eq. (14), Ref. 9.) Similarly all of the other pressure and magnetic-pressure terms in Eqs. (19) to (21) will be zero if the triple correlations are neglected. Thus neglecting triple correlations and assuming that the mean magnetic field is in the direction  $B_3$ , the set of Eqs. (19) to (22) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \overline{u_1 u'_j}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} B_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial r_3} (\overline{u_1 b'_j} - \overline{b_1 u'_j}) + 2\nu \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_1 u'_j}}{\partial r_k \partial r_k} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \overline{u_1 b'_j}}{\partial t} &= B_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial r_3} \left( \overline{u_1 u'_j} - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \overline{b_1 b'_j} \right) + \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_1 b'_j}}{\partial r_k \partial r_k} \\ &\quad - (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} B_3 \left( \epsilon_{j m 3} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_1 b'_l}}{\partial r_m \partial r_l} + \epsilon_{j m k} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{u_1 b'_k}}{\partial r_m \partial r_3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \overline{b_1 u_j}}{\partial t} = & B_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial r_3} \left( \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \overline{b_1 b_j'} - \overline{u_1 u_j'} \right) + \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_1 u_j}}{\partial r_k \partial r_k} \\ & - (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} B_3 \left( \epsilon_{im3} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_l u_j}}{\partial r_m \partial r_l} + \epsilon_{imk} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_k u_j}}{\partial r_m \partial r_3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \overline{b_1 b_j'}}{\partial t} = & B_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial r_3} (\overline{b_1 u_j'} - \overline{u_1 b_j'}) + \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_1 b_j'}}{\partial r_k \partial r_k} - (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} \\ & \times B_3 \left( \epsilon_{im3} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_l b_j'}}{\partial r_m \partial r_l} + \epsilon_{imk} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_k b_j'}}{\partial r_m \partial r_3} + \epsilon_{jm3} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_1 b_l}}{\partial r_m \partial r_l} + \epsilon_{jmk} \frac{\partial^2 \overline{b_1 b_k'}}{\partial r_m \partial r_3} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Spectral equations. - In order to convert Eqs. (25) to (28) to spectral form, define the following three-dimensional Fourier transforms:

$$\overline{u_1 u_j'} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_{1j} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} d\vec{k} \quad (29)$$

$$\overline{b_1 b_j'} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{1j} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} d\vec{k} \quad (30)$$

$$\overline{b_1 u_j'} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{1j}' e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} d\vec{k} \quad (31)$$

$$\overline{u_1 b_j'} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta_{1j}'' e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} d\vec{k} \quad (32)$$

By using these relations, the Fourier transforms of Eqs. (25) to (28) are obtained as

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{ij}}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} B_3 i \kappa_3 (\beta_{ij}'' - \beta_{ij}') - 2\nu \kappa^2 \varphi_{ij} \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \beta_{ij}''}{\partial t} = & B_3 i \kappa_3 \left( \varphi_{ij} - \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \beta_{ij}' \right) - \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \right) \kappa^2 \beta_{ij}'' \\ & + (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} B_3 (\epsilon_{j m 3} \kappa_m \kappa_l \beta_{il}'' + \epsilon_{j m k} \kappa_m \kappa_3 \beta_{ik}'') \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \beta_{ij}'}{\partial t} = & B_3 i \kappa_3 \left( \frac{1}{\mu_0 \rho} \beta_{ij}' - \varphi_{ij} \right) - \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \right) \kappa^2 \beta_{ij}' \\ & + (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} B_3 (\epsilon_{i m 3} \kappa_m \kappa_l \beta_{lj}' + \epsilon_{i m k} \kappa_m \kappa_3 \beta_{jk}') \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \beta_{ij}}{\partial t} = & B_3 i \kappa_3 (\beta_{ij}' - \beta_{ij}'') - \frac{2\kappa^2}{\alpha_0} \beta_{ij} + (e_c n_e \mu_0 \sigma)^{-1} \\ & \times B_3 (\epsilon_{i m 3} \kappa_m \kappa_l \beta_{lj} + \epsilon_{i m k} \kappa_m \kappa_3 \beta_{kj} + \epsilon_{j m 3} \kappa_m \kappa_l \beta_{il} + \epsilon_{j m k} \kappa_m \kappa_3 \beta_{ik}) \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

As they stand, most of the 36 equations represented by (33) to (36) are interrelated. The solution could be carried out numerically if it appears to be important to do so. However, if the Hall-current terms are neglected (large  $n_e$  or  $\sigma$ ), a considerable simplification is obtained, inasmuch as it is then only necessary to solve three equations simultaneously. The Hall currents are negligible for a liquid metal, although they may not be for a rarified plasma. It appears that the results will still be useful for giving an insight into some of the physical processes occurring in this type of turbulence.

If we neglect Hall currents and compare Eqs. (34) and (35), we find that the relation  $\beta''_{ij} = -\beta'_{ij}$  holds for all times if it is true at an initial time. Here it will be assumed that the magnetic field fluctuations are initially zero, so that the above relation will hold. Thus, the set of Eqs. (33) to (36) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{ij}}{\partial t} = - \left( \frac{i2B_3 \kappa_3 \beta'_{ij}}{\mu_0 \rho} \right) - 2\nu \kappa^2 \varphi_{ij} \quad (37)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{i2B_3 \kappa_3 \beta'_{ij}}{\mu_0 \rho} \right) = \frac{2B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0 \rho} \left[ \varphi_{ij} - \left( \frac{\beta_{ij}}{\mu_0 \rho} \right) \right] - \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} \right) \kappa^2 \left( \frac{i2B_3 \kappa_3 \beta'_{ij}}{\mu_0 \rho} \right) \quad (38)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{\beta_{ij}}{\mu_0 \rho} \right) = \left( \frac{i2B_3 \kappa_3 \beta'_{ij}}{\mu_0 \rho} \right) - \frac{2\nu \kappa^2}{\sigma \mu_0 \nu} \left( \frac{\beta_{ij}}{\mu_0 \rho} \right) \quad (39)$$

In these equations  $\varphi_{ij}$  is a spectrum tensor for turbulent velocity energy and  $\beta_{ij}/(\mu_0 \rho)$  is a corresponding tensor for turbulent magnetic energy. The term  $i2B_3 \kappa_3 \beta'_{ij}/(\mu_0 \rho)$  occurs in both Eqs. (37) and (39), but with opposite signs. Thus it can be interpreted as an interchange term which transfers turbulent energy between the mechanical and magnetic modes.

Solution of spectral equations. - A general solution of Eqs. (37)

to (39) is

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{ij} = \exp \left[ - \left( \nu + \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} \right) \kappa^2 (t - t_0) \right] & \left\{ (C_1)_{ij} + (C_2)_{ij} \exp \left[ s(t - t_0) \right] \right. \\ & \left. + (C_3)_{ij} \exp \left[ - s(t - t_0) \right] \right\} \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i2B_3\kappa_3\beta_{ij}'}{\mu_0\rho} &= \frac{2B_3\kappa_3}{\mu_0\rho} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha\mu_0} + \nu\right)\kappa^2(t - t_0)\right] \left\{ (C_1)_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha\mu_0} - \nu\right)\kappa^2 \right. \\ &\quad + (C_2)_{ij}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\alpha\mu_0} - \nu\right)\kappa^2 - s\right] \exp\left[s(t - t_0)\right] \\ &\quad \left. + (C_3)_{ij}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\alpha\mu_0} - \nu\right)\kappa^2 + s\right] \exp\left[-s(t - t_0)\right] \right\} + \left(\frac{2B_3\kappa_3}{\mu_0\rho}\right) \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_{ij}}{\mu_0\rho} &= \frac{1}{\mu_0\rho} \exp\left[-\left(\frac{1}{\alpha\mu_0} + \nu\right)\kappa^2(t - t_0)\right] \left\{ \frac{2(C_1)_{ij}B_3^2\kappa_3^2}{\mu_0\rho} \right. \\ &\quad + (C_2)_{ij}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\alpha\mu_0} - \nu\right)^2\kappa^4 - \left(\frac{1}{\alpha\mu_0} - \nu\right)\kappa^2s - \frac{2B_3^2\kappa_3^2}{\mu_0\rho}\right] \exp\left[s(t - t_0)\right] \\ &\quad + (C_3)_{ij}\left[\left(\frac{1}{\alpha\mu_0} - \nu\right)^2\kappa^4 + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha\mu_0} - \nu\right)\kappa^2s - \frac{2B_3^2\kappa_3^2}{\mu_0\rho}\right] \exp\left[-s(t - t_0)\right] \Big\} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{2B_3^2\kappa_3^2}{\mu_0^2\rho^2}\right) \quad (42) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$s \equiv \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha\mu_0} - \nu\right)^2\kappa^4 - \frac{4B_3^2\kappa_3^2}{\mu_0\rho}} \quad (43)$$

and  $(C_1)_{ij}$ ,  $(C_2)_{ij}$ , and  $(C_3)_{ij}$  are constants of integration.

In order to evaluate the constants of integration, we use the conditions that the turbulence is initially isotropic and that  $\beta_{ij}$  and  $i\beta_{ij}'$  are initially zero. The last two conditions correspond to the assumption that the magnetic field fluctuations are zero at  $t = t_0$ . The

interaction of the mean magnetic field and the velocity fluctuations will then cause magnetic field fluctuations to arise at later times, and in addition, will cause the turbulence to become anisotropic. The assumption that the turbulence is initially isotropic means that, for weak turbulence,

$$(\varphi_{ij})_0 = \frac{J_0}{12\pi^2} (\kappa^2 \delta_{ij} - \kappa_i \kappa_j) \quad (44)$$

as given by Eq. (43) in Ref. 6. The quantity  $J_0$  is a constant that depends on initial conditions and  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta. For the foregoing initial conditions, the constants of integration are

$$(C_1)_{ij} = - \frac{J_0 \kappa^2 \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{\kappa_i \kappa_j}{\kappa^2} \right) \frac{B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0 \rho}}{6\pi^2 s^2} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (C_2)_{ij} = J_0 \kappa^2 \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{\kappa_i \kappa_j}{\kappa^2} \right) & \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right)^3 \kappa^6 + \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right)^2 \kappa^4 s \right. \\ & \left. - 4 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right) \frac{\kappa^2 B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0 \rho} - \frac{2 B_3^2 \kappa_3^2 s}{\mu_0 \rho} \right] + (24\pi^2 s^3) \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (C_3)_{ij} = -J_0 \kappa^2 \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{\kappa_i \kappa_j}{\kappa^2} \right) & \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right)^3 \kappa^6 - \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right)^2 \kappa^4 s \right. \\ & \left. - 4 \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right) \frac{\kappa^2 B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0 \rho} + \frac{2 B_3^2 \kappa_3^2 s}{\mu_0 \rho} \right] + (24\pi^2 s^3) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

When  $s$ , as given by Eq. (43) becomes imaginary, the following solution can be used:

$$\Phi_{1j} = \left\{ (C_1')_{1j} + (C_2')_{1j} \cos [s'(t - t_0)] + (C_3')_{1j} \sin [s'(t - t_0)] \right\} \times \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} + \nu \right) \kappa^2 (t - t_0) \right] \quad (48)$$

$$\frac{12B_3\kappa_3\beta_{1j}}{\mu_0\rho} = \frac{2B_3\kappa_3}{\mu_0\rho} \exp - \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} + \nu \right) \kappa^2 (t - t_0) \cdot \left\{ (C_1')_{1j} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right) \kappa^2 + \left[ (C_2')_{1j} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right) \kappa^2 - (C_3')_{1j} s' \right] \cos [s'(t - t_0)] + \left[ (C_3')_{1j} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right) \kappa^2 + (C_2')_{1j} s' \right] \sin [s'(t - t_0)] \right\} + \left( \frac{2B_3\kappa_3}{\mu_0\rho} \right) \quad (49)$$

$$\frac{\beta_{1j}}{\mu_0\rho} = \frac{1}{\mu_0\rho} \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} + \nu \right) \kappa^2 (t - t_0) \right] \left\{ \frac{2(C_1')_{1j} B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0\rho} + \left[ (C_2')_{1j} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right)^2 \kappa^4 - (C_3')_{1j} s' \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right) \kappa^2 - \frac{2(C_2')_{1j} B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0\rho} \right] \cdot \cos [s'(t - t_0)] + \left[ (C_3')_{1j} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right)^2 \kappa^4 + (C_2')_{1j} s' \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right) \kappa^2 - \frac{2(C_3')_{1j} B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0\rho} \right] \sin [s'(t - t_0)] \right\} + \left( \frac{2B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0\rho^2} \right) \quad (50)$$

where

$$s' = \sqrt{\frac{4B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0\rho} - \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right)^2 \kappa^4} \quad (51)$$



$$(C'_1)_{ij} = \frac{J_0 \kappa^2}{6\pi^2 s^2} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{\kappa_i \kappa_j}{\kappa^2} \right) \frac{B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0 \rho} \quad (52)$$

$$(C'_2)_{ij} = \frac{J_0 \kappa^2}{12\pi^2 s^2} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{\kappa_i \kappa_j}{\kappa^2} \right) \left[ \frac{2B_3^2 \kappa_3^2}{\mu_0 \rho} - \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right)^2 \kappa^4 \right] \quad (53)$$

and

$$(C'_3)_{ij} = \frac{J_0 \kappa^2}{12\pi^2 s^2} \left( \delta_{ij} - \frac{\kappa_i \kappa_j}{\kappa^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \mu_0} - \nu \right) \kappa^2 \quad (54)$$

The foregoing spectral quantities are functions of the components of  $\vec{\kappa}$  as well as of its magnitude. In order to obtain quantities that are functions only of the magnitude  $\kappa$  we integrate over all directions in wave-number space. Thus, as suggested by Batchelor, we define the quantity  $\psi_{ij}$  by the equation

$$\psi_{ij}(\kappa) = \int_0^A \phi_{ij}(\vec{\kappa}) dA(\vec{\kappa}) \quad (55)$$

where  $A$  is the area of a sphere of radius  $\kappa$ . Letting  $r = 0$  in Eq. (29) shows that

$$\overline{u_i u_j} = \int_0^\infty \psi_{ij} d\kappa \quad (56)$$

Thus  $\psi_{ij} d\kappa$  gives the contribution from wave number band  $d\kappa$  to  $\overline{u_i u_j}$ , and a plot of  $\psi_{ij}$  against  $\kappa$  shows how contributions to  $\overline{u_i u_j}$  are distributed among the various wave numbers or eddy sizes.

Equations (40) to (54) can be written in spherical coordinates by setting,

$$\kappa_1 = \kappa \cos \varphi \sin \theta, \quad \kappa_2 = \kappa \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \quad \kappa_3 = \kappa \cos \theta \quad (57)$$

Then Eq. (55) becomes

$$\psi_{ij}(\kappa) = \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_{ij}(\kappa, \varphi, \theta) \kappa^2 \sin \theta \, d\varphi \, d\theta \quad (58)$$

The component  $\varphi_{33}$  and  $\varphi_{ii}$  are independent of the angle  $\varphi$ . Thus Eq. (58) becomes, for  $\psi_{ii}$ ,

$$\psi_{ii} = 4\pi\kappa^2 \int_0^1 \varphi_{ii} \, d(\cos \theta) \quad (59)$$

Spectrum functions corresponding respectively to  $\beta_{ii}$ , and to the interchange term in Eqs. (37) and (39), are

$$\Omega_{ii} = 4\pi\kappa^2 \int_0^1 \beta_{ii} \, d(\cos \theta) \quad (60)$$

and

$$I_{ii} = 4\pi\kappa^2 \int_0^1 \left( \frac{i2B_3\kappa_3\beta_{ii}}{\mu_0\rho} \right) d(\cos \theta) \quad (61)$$

Similar expressions are used to calculate  $\psi_{33}$  and  $\Omega_{33}$ . Computed spectra of the various turbulent quantities will be considered in the next section. For making the calculations, the indicated integrations in Eqs. (59), (60), and (61) were carried out numerically.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 shows dimensionless spectra of the energy contained in the turbulent velocity field (spectra of  $\overline{u_1 u_1}/2$ ). Curves are shown for

values of  $q_0 v$  of  $10^{-7}$ , 0.5, and 2. The low value of  $q_0 v$  corresponds in order of magnitude to liquid metals, whereas the higher values may approximate those for certain rarefied astrophysical plasmas.

When plotted with the similarity variables used in Fig. 1, the spectrum for no magnetic effects ( $B_3^* = 0$ ) does not change with time, so that comparison of the various curves indicates how magnetic forces will alter the spectrum. In all cases the curves for  $B_3^* \neq 0$  lie below those for  $B_3^* = 0$ , thus illustrating the stabilizing effect of the magnetic field. For the two lower values of  $q_0 v$ , the areas under the curves decrease as  $B_3^*$  increases. However, for  $q_0 v = 2$ , a minimum is indicated, and further increases in  $B_3^*$  cause a relative increase in turbulent velocity energy. These effects are shown more clearly in Fig. 2 where values of  $\overline{u_1 u_1} / (\overline{u_1 u_1})_0$  and  $\overline{u_3 u_3} / (\overline{u_3 u_3})_0$  are plotted against  $B_3^*$ . The subscript 0 is for  $B_3^* = 0$ . The ordinates were obtained from the areas under spectrum curves such as those in Fig. 1 and similar curves for  $\psi_{33}$ . For high values of  $B_3^*$  the velocity fluctuations for  $q_0 v = 2$  are nearly as strong as those for  $B_3^* = 0$ , although the spectra differ. For  $q_0 v < 1$ , the velocity fluctuations decrease and approach zero for large values of  $B_3^*$ , the rate of approach to zero being much greater for the lower value of  $q_0 v$ .

This damping of the velocity fluctuations seems to be related to the darkness of sunspots, as discussed by Cowling (Ref. 5). The magnetic field in the sunspot apparently reduces the turbulence in that region, and thus reduces the convective heat transport to the surface. Thus the surface appears dark. Inasmuch as  $q_0 v$  is less than 1 for the sun,

Fig. 2 indicates that this damping of the turbulence should take place if the mean magnetic field in the sunspot is sufficiently large.

The energy in the turbulent magnetic field will be considered next. Dimensionless spectra of  $\overline{b_i b_i}/2$  are plotted in Fig. 3, where it is seen that the trends for small  $B_3^*$  are opposite to those for the turbulent velocity field shown in Fig. 1. As  $B_3^*$  increases, the turbulent magnetic energy, in general, increases relative to the turbulent velocity energy for no magnetic field. (The comparison is made relative to the velocity energy for  $B_3^* = 0$  since the turbulent magnetic energy is zero for  $B_3^* = 0$ .) For larger values of  $B_3^*$  the variation is more complex. However, it appears that for all values of  $B_3^*$ , turbulent energy is being transferred between the mechanical mode and the magnetic mode by the interchange term in Eqs. (37) and (39).

The integrated interchange term as calculated by Eq. (61) is plotted in Fig. 4. That term is predominantly positive for  $\sigma\mu_0\nu < 1$ , and thus indicates, that turbulent energy is being transferred from the mechanical to the magnetic mode. For  $\sigma\mu_0\nu = 2$  and low values of  $B_3^*$  (not shown) the interchange term is also predominantly positive. However, for  $B_3^* = 16$  (Fig. 4(c)),  $I_{ii}$  is predominantly negative. That is, for  $\sigma\mu_0\nu > 1$ , energy is transferred from the magnetic to the mechanical mode

at high values of  $B_3^*$ . **Energy can apparently be transferred from the velocity to the fluctuating magnetic mode because the magnetic lines of force in the mean imposed magnetic field tend to follow the fluid motions, at least at high values of conductivity or of  $\sigma\mu_0\nu$ . (The turbulent velocity fluctuations tend to scramble the magnetic lines of force.)** If, on the other hand, the fluctuating magnetic energy happens to be very large, the tension in the lines of force tends to straighten them out and reduces the fluctuating magnetic energy. Thus energy can be transferred in both directions.

Some of the curves in Figs. 4(b) and (c) exhibit multiple peaks and valleys, the effect being particularly pronounced for  $\sigma\mu_0\nu = 2$  and  $B_3^* = 16$ . For that case Fig. 4(c) indicates that the energy transfer can be in one direction at a given wave number and in the opposite direction

at a slightly different wave number. A similar curve was obtained for  $\mu_0\nu = 0.5$  and  $B_3^* = 16$ , but the curve in that case was predominantly positive, rather than negative. Because of the interaction of Eqs. (37) to (39), some of the curves in Figs. 1 and 3 also have a wavy appearance. In all cases the number of peaks increases as  $B_3^*$  increases. In the curve in Fig. 1(b) for  $\mu_0\nu = 0.5$  and  $B_3^* = 128$ , there are actually a large number of very small peaks, but they are too small to show up in the plot. The quantity  $B_3^*$  is proportional to  $(t - t_0)^{1/2}$ , so that as time increases, for a fixed  $B_3$ , the number of peaks in the spectrum curves will increase.

A necessary condition for the development of multiple peaks seems to be that the energy in the mechanical and magnetic modes be of the same order of magnitude. Thus the effect does not occur for  $\mu_0\nu = 10^{-7}$ , or for other values of  $\mu_0\nu$  when  $B_3^*$  is small, inasmuch as the energy in the magnetic mode is much less than that in the mechanical mode for those cases. The second term in Eq. (38) is proportional to the difference between the mechanical and magnetic energy at a particular value of  $\vec{k}$ . It seems reasonable that the waviness observed in the spectra should develop when the two quantities are of the same order of magnitude.

Comparison of Figs. 1(a) and 3(a) indicates that negligible energy is contained in the magnetic mode for  $\mu_0\nu = 10^{-7}$ . This occurs in spite of the fact that the interchange terms for  $\mu_0\nu = 10^{-7}$  and for 0.5 are of the same order of magnitude (see Figs. 4(a) and (b)). Comparison of the interchange term and electrical dissipation terms in Eq. (39) shows that they are very nearly equal. Thus, for  $\mu_0\nu = 10^{-7}$ ,

nearly all the energy that is transferred out of the mechanical mode is dissipated immediately by electrical resistance. The energy dissipated by electrical resistance is of the same order of magnitude as that dissipated by viscous action for all three values of  $\sigma\mu_0\nu$ , as can be seen by comparison of Figs. 1 and 3 and the dissipation terms in Eqs. (37) and (39). The ratio of the dissipation term for magnetic energy to that for mechanical energy (integrated over all directions) is the same as the ratio of an ordinate on Fig. 3 to a corresponding ordinate on Fig. 1 divided by  $\sigma\mu_0\nu$ .

Partition of turbulent energy between velocity and magnetic fields. -

A point of considerable physical interest is the partition of turbulent energy between the mechanical and magnetic modes. Figure 5 shows  $\overline{b_1 b_1} / (\mu_0 \overline{u_1 u_1})$  and  $\overline{b_3^2} / \mu_0 \overline{u_3^2}$  plotted against  $B_3^*$ . For the two higher values of  $\sigma\mu_0\nu$  the curves approach one for large values of time or of  $B_3$ . On the other hand, for  $\sigma\mu_0\nu = 10^{-7}$ , the ratios are essentially 0 for values of  $B_3^*$  up to  $130 \times 10^3$ . For the higher values of  $\sigma\mu_0\nu$ , appreciable turbulent energy remains in the fluid when equipartition of energy is approached, but for  $\sigma\mu_0\nu = 10^{-7}$  the turbulent energy is damped out by the mean magnetic field without approaching equipartition (see Figs. 2 and 5).

**The small amount of fluctuating magnetic energy in this case can be thought of as due to the fact that the mean magnetic lines of force are not pulled about by the velocity field except for fluids of very high conductivity (high  $\sigma\mu_0\nu$ ).**

The tendency of the energy to approach equipartition can be explained with the aid of Eqs. (37) to (39). The second term in Eq. (38) will produce a positive contribution to the change in the transfer term when the mechanical energy is greater than the magnetic energy and vice versa. Reference to Eqs. (37) and (39) then shows that its effect will

be to produce equality of energy in the mechanical and magnetic modes. For  $q_{10}v = 2$ , the ratio of magnetic to mechanical energy becomes greater than 1 before leveling off at unity. This evidently can occur because the dissipation rate for mechanical energy is greater than that for magnetic energy in that case.

It has been suggested that cosmic rays are caused by the acceleration of charged particles by wandering (or turbulent) magnetic fields in interstellar space.<sup>11</sup> Inasmuch as  $q_{10}v$  is probably greater than one for this case, Fig. 5 indicates that the required turbulent magnetic field should exist if a turbulent velocity field and a mean magnetic field are present. Inasmuch as  $q_{10}v$  is probably greater than one in interstellar space, the energy in the turbulent magnetic field should be at least as great as that in the velocity field.

Partition of turbulent energy between directional components. - Another point of interest is the partition of the mechanical and magnetic energy between the directional components. Values of  $\overline{u_3^2}/(\overline{u_1 u_1}/3)$  and of  $\overline{b_3^2}/(\overline{b_1 b_1}/3)$  are plotted against  $B_3^*$  in Figs. 6 and 7. A value of one on the ordinates of these curves indicates that the three directional components of the mechanical and the magnetic energy are equal, inasmuch as  $\overline{u_1^2} = \overline{u_2^2}$  and  $\overline{b_1^2} = \overline{b_2^2}$  from symmetry considerations. The curves indicate considerable interchange between the directional components. This may be somewhat surprising in view of the fact that the pressure-force terms, which are usually associated with the transfer between directional components, are absent in the present case. The interchange might be attributed to a difference in the decay rates and in the transfer between the magnetic and mechanical modes for the three directional components.

For large values of  $B_3^*$  the curves for  $q_{10}v = 0.5$  and 2 approach one. Those for lower values of  $q_{10}v$  tend to approach 1.5 and then, except for  $q_{10}v = 10^{-2}$  and  $10^{-7}$  decrease toward one. Equal energy can evidently occur in the three directional components because each of Eqs. (37) to (39) is identical for the three components. Thus, if the effects of initial conditions as given by Eq. (44) are negligible for large values of  $B_3^*$  the three components of the mechanical and the magnetic energy could be equal, as shown in Figs. 6 and 7.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Turbulent energy is transferred between the mechanical and magnetic modes by an interchange term in the spectral and correlation equations, this term being proportional to the mean magnetic field. A term in the equation of change for the interchange term is proportional to the difference between the mechanical and magnetic energy and causes energy to be transferred in such a direction that it tends to produce equipartition of energy between the mechanical and electrical modes. Multiple peaks can develop in the spectrum curves when the energy in the mechanical and magnetic modes is of the same order of magnitude. When the kinematic viscosity is much less than the electrical resistivity (or magnetic diffusivity) as for liquid metals, most of the energy transferred out of the mechanical mode is dissipated immediately by electrical resistance. Thus very little energy resides in the magnetic mode, and the interchange term, in this case, is ineffective in producing equipartition of energy. Except for very low ratios of kinematic viscosity to electrical resistivity, the turbulent energy in the velocity and



magnetic fields tends to be equally divided between the directional components for large values of time or of mean magnetic field.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to acknowledge the work of Mrs. Virginia Renkel in carrying out the numerical computations for the paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. Batchelor, G. K.: Proc. Roy. Soc., ser. A, vol. 201, 1950, p. 406.
2. Chandrasekhar, S.: Proc. Roy. Soc., ser. A, vol. 204, 1951, p. 435.
3. Tatsumi, T.: Rev. Modern Phys., vol. 32, 1960, p. 807.
4. Kovasznay, L. S. G.: Rev. Modern Phys., vol. 32, 1960, p. 815.
5. Cowling, T. G.: Magnetohydrodynamics. Interscience Pub., Inc., 1957.
6. Deissler, R. G.: Phys. Fluids, vol. 4, 1961, p. 1187.
7. Deissler, R. G.: Jour. Geophysical Res., vol. 67, 1962, p. 3049.
8. Deissler, R. G.: Int. Jour. of Heat and Mass Transfer (in press).
9. Deissler, R. G.: Phys. Fluids, vol. 3, 1960, p. 176.
10. Batchelor, G. K.: The Theory of Homogeneous Turbulence. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1953, p. 87.
11. Fermi, E.: Phys. Rev., vol. 75, 1949, p. 1169.

FIGURE LEDGENDS

(a)  $q\mu_0\nu = 10^{-7}$ .

(b)  $q\mu_0\nu = 0.5$ .

(c)  $q\mu_0\nu = 2.0$ .

Fig. 1. - Dimensionless spectra of energy in turbulent velocity field,  
 $\overline{u_1 u_1}/2$ .

---

Fig. 2. - Ratio of mean turbulent velocity fluctuations to those for no  
magnetic field.

---

(a)  $q\mu_0\nu = 10^{-7}$ .

(b)  $q\mu_0\nu = 0.5$ .

(c)  $q\mu_0\nu = 2.0$ .

Fig. 3. - Dimensionless spectra of energy in turbulent magnetic field,  
 $\overline{b_1 b_1}/2$ .

---

(a)  $q\mu_0\nu = 10^{-7}$ .

(b)  $q\mu_0\nu = 0.5$ .

(c)  $q\mu_0\nu = 2.0$ .

Fig. 4. - Dimensionless interchange term for transfer of energy between  
mechanical and magnetic modes.

---

Fig. 5. - Ratio of turbulent energy in magnetic mode to that in mechanical  
mode.

---

Fig. 6. - Ratio of component of turbulent mechanical energy in direction  
of mean magnetic field to average directional component.

---

Fig. 7. - Ratio of component of turbulent magnetic energy in direction of  
mean magnetic field to average directional component.




Figure 1. - Dimensionless spectra of energy in turbulent velocity field,  $\overline{u_1 u_1} / 2$ .




Figure 1. - Continued. Dimensionless spectra of energy in turbulent velocity field,  $\overline{u_1 u_1} / 2$ .




Figure 1. - Concluded. Dimensionless spectra of energy in turbulent velocity field,  $\overline{u_1 u_1}/2$ .  
(c)  $\sigma_{H_0} \nu = 2.0$ .






Figure 2. - Ratio of mean turbulent velocity fluctuations to those for no magnetic field.

Figure 3. - Dimensionless spectra of energy in turbulent magnetic field,  $\overline{b_1 b_1}/2$ .

Figure 3. - Continued. Dimensionless spectra of energy in turbulent magnetic field,  $b_1 \bar{b}_1 / 2$ .






Figure 3. - Concluded. Dimensionless spectra of energy in turbulent magnetic field,  $\overline{b_1 b_1}/2$ .




Figure 4. - Dimensionless interchange term for transfer of energy between mechanical and magnetic modes.





Figure 4. - Continued. Dimensionless interchange term for transfer of energy between mechanical and magnetic modes.



(c)  $\sigma \mu_0 \nu = 2.0$ .

Figure 4. - Concluded. Dimensionless interchange term for transfer of energy between mechanical and magnetic modes.




Figure 5. - Ratio of turbulent energy in magnetic mode to that in mechanical mode.




Figure 6. - Ratio of component of turbulent mechanical energy in direction of mean magnetic field to average directional component.




Figure 7. - Ratio of component of turbulent magnetic energy in direction of mean magnetic field to average directional component.